

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313,1450

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov	
---	--

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/843,781	04/30/2001	Woo Hyuk Choi	041501-5423	1083	
7590 11/03/2004  Morgan, Lewis & Bockins LLP 1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, NW			EXAMINER		
			QI, ZHI QIANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do	C 20004		2871		
			DATE MAILED: 11/03/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

All			202
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/843,781	CHOI, WOO HYUK	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mike Qi	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence addi	ress
THE REPLY FILED 22 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to aviginal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply h places the applicat	/ to a tion in
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The see have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of see under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the content	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention and the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main at the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main at the shortened statutory period for reply the shortened statutory period for shortened statutory period for the shortene	g date of the final rejection HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The final (	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	•		
<ol><li>The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be</li></ol>	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	enewly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-9,12,15-19,21-24,26</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by t	ne Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>	
0. Other:	SUFER		ojot <b>⊆D</b>
	TEC	thur h	33NER 330

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Because the crucial inventive feature is a repair structure to repair the defect occured due to a short circuit between the scan line and the data line, so that the data line or the scan line having first, second, and third segments, and using the bypass structure isolates the defects. the Prior art of record discloses such repair feature. Concening the repair pattern overlap the pixel electrode and fill insulating material between the two conductive segments that cannot be found any crucial advantage in the specification, and that at least would have been obvious, and the Fig.2 does not show the repair pattern (25) overlap the pixel (27), because the pixel (27) is cut and the cut portion (27a) does not serve as pixel electrode.